

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 616,526	07 14 2000	Nabil Abdul Malak	11123.15US01	6296
23552 75	90 08 13 2002			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			STRZELECKA	, TERESA E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	12
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	127

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/616,526	ABDUL MALAK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Teresa E Strzelecka	1637	
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory price to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ead patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ON. FR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a report a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U S C § 133)	
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 May 2002 and 14 June 200	12	
2a)⊡		This action is non-final.	<u> </u>	
3)	Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
,—	closed in accordance with the practice up			
·	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22-45 and 50-64</u> is/are per			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>50-52 and 55-58</u>	g is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[_	Claim(s) <u>1-20,24-45 and 50-64</u> is/are reje	cted.		
7).	Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
· ·	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
4410-	Applicant may not request that any objection		` ,	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _  If approved, corrected drawings are required		isapproved by the Examiner.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	• •		
		ic Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	venion priority under 25 H.C.C. S	2 440(=) (d) == (5)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 0.5.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	manta hava hasa sassiyad		
	<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li></ul>		anliantian Na	
	_		<del></del>	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ğ	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)	
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
ttachmen	t(s)			
) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application Control Number: 09 616,526 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to an amendments filed on May 28 and June 14, 2002.

2. In the amendment of May 28, 2002, Applicants added claims 59-64, and these will be considered as falling within the previously elected subject matter. Claims 21 and 46-49 were cancelled.

3. The pending claims are: 1-20, 22-45 and 50-64. Claims 50-52 and 55-58 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 14, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the newly amended claims overcome the prior art rejections over Boyce, Eisenberg and the combination of these two references. However, the amended claims contain a Markush group of two products. The amended claims 1, 9, 20, and 61 (newly added in the amendment of May 28) are drawn to two products: a compact collagen membrane which is a collagen film prepared by drying a collagen gel and a compact collagen membrane which is a compressed collagen sponge prepared by a compression of a collagen sponge at a pressure of at least 50 bar. Therefore, even though the second product is not rejectable over prior art, the first one still is, and, in addition, the meaning of the term "compact" membrane, as applied to the first product, is unclear.

Therefore prior art rejections are maintained.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1637

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-19, 24-26, 28-38, 41-45, 53, 54 and 59-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - A) Claims 1, 5, 9, 12, 19, 28 and 34 are indefinite because of the limitation "...compact collagen membrane..." (emphasis added). It is unclear what properties of the membrane are described by this term.
  - B) Claim 2 is indefinite because of the limitation "...fluid selected from the group consisting of air and gas...". It is unclear why air and gas (what gas?) are categorized as "fluids".
  - C) Claims 3, 14, 15, 18, 24 recite the limitation "the collagen" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
  - D) Claims 6 and 36 are indefinite because of the limitation "...cells originate <u>substantially</u> <u>exclusively</u> from young subjects...". It is unclear what the term "originate substantially exclusively means.
  - E) Claims 7 and 37 are indefinite because of the limitation "...cells originate <u>substantially</u> exclusively from elderly subjects...". It is unclear what the term "originate substantially exclusively means".
  - F) Claims 9 and 61 are indefinite because of the paragraph starting on line 9, which attempts to introduce product-by-process language into the claim and in the end it is totally unclear what has been added to the already present limitation of the porous layer comprising fibroblasts and the compact membrane comprising living cells.
  - G) Claim 16 recites the limitation "the membrane layer" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1637

- H) Claims 26 and 27 recite the limitation "said collagen" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- I) Claims 28 and 34 recite the limitation "the compact membrane" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- F) Claims 44 and 53 are indefinite because of the limitation "...cells obtained <u>substantially</u> <u>exclusively</u> from ... young subjects...". It is unclear what the term "obtained substantially exclusively means.
- G) Claims 45 and 54 are indefinite because of the limitation "...cells obtained <u>substantially</u> <u>exclusively</u> from ... elderly subjects...". It is unclear what the term "obtained substantially exclusively means".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-8, 12-20, 24-38, 40-42, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyce (U.S. Patent No. 5,273,900).

Claims 10 and 11 were included in the product claim rejection as it is not clear what special properties are imparted to the composite by compression under pressure.

Boyce teaches a composite skin replacement comprising two <u>components</u>: an <u>epidermal component</u> and a porous, <u>laminated dermal membrane</u>. The <u>dermal membrane</u> is prepared from <u>boyine collagen</u> and <u>a glycosaminoglycan</u> (GAG), <u>a polysaccharide</u>. The membrane is covered with a <u>laminating layer</u> containing a mixture of collagen and GAG

Page 5

Application Control Number: 09 616,526

Art Unit: 1637

(Abstract; col. 7, lines 7-16). The <u>dermal membrane</u> is then covered with <u>normal</u> keratinocytes (col. 7, lines 17-39).

To prepare the <u>membrane</u>, the membrane solution is <u>frozen</u>, <u>lyophilized and cross-linked by physical or chemical means</u>. Then a <u>separately prepared gel of collagen and GAG is deposited onto the membrane</u>, the two layers are frozen and lyophilized, then <u>cross-linked using high temperatures and a vacuum</u> or UV light. The laminated dermal membrane is then rehydrated and cross-linked with glutaraldehyde (col. 7, lines 40-62; col. 9, lines 17-66). Freezing is conducted in the freezing bath containing isopropanol or by using liquefied gases such as nitrogen, propane or air (col. 9, lines 25-36).

The dermal layer may be modified by an addition of biologically active molecules such as growth factors (col. 10, lines 1-5). The normal human keratinocytes are then inoculated into the dermal membrane, forming epidermal layer on the membrane's surface (col. 10, lines 45-54; col. 16, lines 26-32).

9. Claims 9, 43, 53, 54 and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eisenberg (WO 91/16010).

Eisenberg teaches a composite skin equivalent comprising a porous cross-linked sponge matrix comprising living fibroblasts and a layer of non-porous collagen containing on its surface cultured keratinocyte cells. Both the fibroblasts and keratinocytes are obtained from skin samples (Abstract; page 5; page 6; first four paragraphs).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the

Art Unit: 1637

subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 11. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Eisenberg (WO 91/16010).
  - A) Claim 39 is drawn to introducing living fibroblasts into the porous layer.
  - B) Teachings of Boyce are described above. Boyce does not teach to introducing living fibroblasts into the porous layer.
  - C) Eisenberg teaches a composite skin equivalent comprising a porous cross-linked sponge matrix comprising living fibroblasts and a layer of non-porous collagen containing on its surface cultured keratinocyte cells. Both the fibroblasts and keratinocytes are obtained from skin samples (Abstract; page 5; page 6; first four paragraphs).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have prepared the porous layer of the skin replacement of Boyce with fibroblasts, as shown by Eisenberg. The motivation to do so, expressly provided by Eisenberg, would have been that such a skin equivalent closely resembled human skin in structure, where the fibroblasts are in the dermis and keratinocytes in the epidermis.

12. No references were found teaching or suggesting claims 10, 11, 22, 23, 59 and 60; claims 10, 11, 59 and 60 are rejected for other reasons. Claims 22 and 23 are objected as being dependent on the rejected claim 20.

## Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1637

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (703) 306-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

August 5, 2002

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/6/02